

Message

From: Shea, Valois [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=A4217A71307D4429B7BDC7C80EB40C7D-SHEA, VALOIS]
Sent: 3/15/2017 12:02:11 AM
To: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**
Subject: RE: UIC 'Class III' Area Permit/ UIC 'Class V' Area Permit for deep-injection wells in the Minnelusa Formation

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

Thank you for emailing me your comments on the draft UIC Dewey-Burdock permitting actions. I have added your email to the list of public comments received. I have also added you to my contact list to keep you informed on future EPA actions related to the site.

Here is the link to the EPA UIC program website that contains all the information in the Administrative Record, in case you do not already have it:

<https://www.epa.gov/uic/administrative-record-dewey-burdock-class-iii-and-class-v-injection-well-draft-area-permits>

The public comment period is in effect through May 19, 2017, in case you have any additional comments after reviewing this information.

Thank you!

Valois

Valois Shea
U.S. EPA Region 8
MailCode: 8WP-SUI
1595 Wynkoop Street
Denver, CO 80202-1129
Phone: (303) 312-6276
Fax: (303) 312-6741
Email: shea.valois@epa.gov

From: **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**
Sent: Sunday, March 12, 2017 8:30 PM
To: Shea, Valois <Shea.Valois@epa.gov>
Subject: UIC 'Class III' Area Permit/ UIC 'Class V' Area Permit for deep-injection wells in the Minnelusa Formation

Hello!

I'm responding to the EPA Region 8 draft proposals mentioned in <https://www.epa.gov/newsreleases/epa-seeks-public-comment-draft-permits-and-aquifer-exemption-uranium-mining-project>

I was particularly alarmed by the language that "EPA is also proposing an aquifer exemption approval in connection with the draft UIC Class III Area Permit. Specifically, this approval would exempt the uranium-bearing portions of the Inyan Kara Group aquifers from protection under the Safe Drinking Water Act. Such an exemption must be in place before ISR activities within these aquifers can occur."

As a citizen sympathetic to my fellow citizens pursuing such activities as "drinking and otherwise using water without it increasing the likelihood of cancer and poor health" I highly object to this exemption approval. If the Class III Area Permit is in an area vulnerable enough to require such review, then such review is a vital part of the process and should not be simply discarded out of convenience.

Thanks,

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)